Exhibit 3

House Report No. 103-781

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED INDIAN TRIBE LIST ACT OF 1994

P.L. 103-454, see page 108 Stat. 4791

DATES OF CONSIDERATION AND PASSAGE

House: October 3, 1994

Senate: October 8, 1994

Cong. Record Vol. 140 (1994)

House Report (Natural Resources Committee) No. 103-781. Oct. 3, 1994 [To accompany H.R. 4180]

No Senate Report was submitted with this legislation.

HOUSE REPORT NO. 103-781

[page 1]

The Committee on Natural Resources, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 4180) to prohibit the withdrawal of acknowledgement or recognition of an Indian tribe or Alaska Native group or of the leaders of an Indian tribe or Alaska Native group, absent an Act of Congress, having considered the same, report favorably thereon with amendments and recommend that the bill as amended do pass.

[page 2]

PURPOSE

The purpose of H.R. 4180 is to require the Secretary of the Interior to publish an annual list of all Indian tribes eligible for the special programs and services provided by the United States to Indians because of their status as Indians.

BACKGROUND

The question of whether a Native American Group constitutes an Indian tribe is one of immense significance in federal Indian law. Because Congress' power to legislate for the benefit of Indians is limited by the Constitution to Indian tribes, for most federal purposes it is not enough that an individual simply be an Indian to receive the protections, services, and benefits offered to Indians; rather, the individual must also be a member of an Indian tribe. Recognized is more than a simple adjective; it is a legal term of art. It means that the government acknowledges as a matter of law

RECO

that a particular Native specific legal status on gress' legislative powers A formal political act, it government relationship nized tribe as a "domest government a fiduciary bers. Concomitantly, it

¹U.S. CONST., art. I, § 8, cl. 3; c ²See, e.g., Epps v. Andrus, 611 1 ³Cherokee Nation v. Georgia, 30

status, along with all as the power to tax, an it imposes upon the Sec provide a panoply of bers. In other words, utus is a prerequisite to partment of the Interiotablishes tribal status for 25 C.F.R. § 83.5 requ

eral Register, not less tribes entitled to receive status of Indians. Are condition to receipt of 1 federal agencies which determine eligibility:

While the Department of previously unrecognite derecognize a tribe turbing tendency in this of Indian Affairs (BIA) federal recognition from

First, the BIA unilat leader of the Oneida Ning, notifying or discusits leaders, or with the members of the House, in October, the Bureau the Tlingit and Haida BIA undertook this accuracy post facto notif the Council was explined the Council was explined to the recognized successive of the recognized successive the council was explined to the recognized successive the council was explined to the recognized successive the construction of the con

Finally, in a recent, indicated that it inten nized tribes as being "c position that "created" ereign tribal governme or tax. It had applied

RECOGNIZED INDIAN TRIBES

P.L. 103-454

that a particular Native American group is a tribe by conferring a specific legal status on that group, thus bringing it within Congress' legislative powers. This federal recognition is no minor step. A formal political act, it permanently establishes a government-to-government relationship between the United States and the recognized tribe as a "domestic dependent nation," and imposes on the government a fiduciary trust relationship to the tribe and its members. Concomitantly, it institutionalizes the tribe's quasi-sovereign

3 U.S. CONST., art. 1, § 8, cl. 3; cf. U.S. CONST., art. 11, § 2, cl. 2. 2 See, e.g., Epps v. Andrus, 611 F.2d 915, 918 (1st Cir. 1979)(per curiam). 3 Cherokee Nation v. Georgia, 30 U.S. (5 Pet.) 1, 14 (1831).

[page 3]

status,⁴ along with all the powers accompanying that status such as the power to tax,⁵ and to establish a separate judiciary.⁶ Finally, it imposes upon the Secretary of the Interior specific obligations to provide a panoply of benefits and services to the tribe and its members.⁷ In other words, unequivocal federal recognition of tribal status is a prerequisite to receiving the services provided by the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA),⁸ and establishes tribal status for all federal purposes.

25 C.F.R. § 83.5 requires the Department to publish in the Federal Register, not less than every three years, a list of all Indian tribes entitled to receive services from the BIA by virtue of their status of Indians. Appearing on that list is a functional precondition to receipt of those services. In addition to the BIA, other federal agencies which provide services to the tribes use the list to determine eligibility.

While the Department clearly has a role in extending recognition to previously unrecognized tribes, 10 it does not have the authority to "derecognize" a tribe. However, the Department has shown a disturbing tendency in this direction. Twice this Congress, the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) has capriciously and improperly withdrawn federal recognition from a native group or leader.

First, the BIA unilaterally withdrew recognition from the chosen leader of the Oneida Nation of New York last year without consulting, notifying or discussing the decision with the Oneida Nation or its leaders, or with this Committee. After active intercession by members of the House, the Department reversed its decision. Then in October, the Bureau unilaterally removed the Central Council of the Thingit and Haida tribes from its list of recognized tribes. The BIA undertook this action precipitously, and with no more than a cursory post facto notification to the Council. This, despite the fact the Council was explicitly recognized as a tribal organization in 1975, and has appeared on the BIA's list of recognized tribes every year since 1982. Congress was again required to intervene on behalf of the recognized group to restore federal recognition. 11

Finally, in a recent letter to the Committee Chairman, the BIA indicated that it intended to differentiate between federally recognized tribes as being "created" or "historic." The BIA had taken the position that "created" bribes do not possess all the powers of a sovereign tribal government: they cannot zone, regulate law and order, or tax. It had applied the distinction to one group—that Pascua

mittee)

ation.

n was referred the knowledgement or group or of the up, absent an Act favorably thereon as amended do

retary of the Intees eligible for the nited States to In-

oup constitutes an ederal Indian law. nefit of Indians is most federal purly be an Indian to offered to Indians; f an Indian tribe.² is a legal term of as a matter of law

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

HOUSE REPORT NO. 103-781

Yaqui of Arizona—and was prepared to apply it to others. Because this dichotomy ran so clearly counter to the intent of Congress and

⁴Worcester v. Georgia, 31 U.S. (6 Pet.) 515, 557-62 (1832).

⁵See Warren Trading Post v. Arizona Tax Commission, 380 U.S. 685 (1965); The Kansas Indians, 72 U.S. (5 Walt.) 737 (1866); Buster v. Wright, 135 F.2d 947 (8th Cir. 1905).

⁶See Ex parte Crow Dog, 109 U.S. 556 (1883); Iron Crow v. Oglala Sioux Tribe, 231 F.2d 89 (8th Cir. 1956).

⁷See, e.g., 43 U.S.C. §1457 (1988); 25 U.S.C. §2 (1988).

⁸See, e.g., 25 CFR §20 (1990) (Financial Assistance and Social Services Program); 25 CFR §101 (1990) (Loans to Indians Program); 25 C.F.R. §256 (1990) (Housing Improvement Program) °CFR §83.5 (1994).

10 See 25 CFR §§ 83 et seq. (1994).

11 See S. 1784, 103d Cong., 1st Sess. (1993).

[page 4]

was outside the Department's authority, Congress quickly enacted legislation prohibiting the distinction. 12

This growing and disturbing trend prompted the introduction of H.R. 4180.

THE FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED INDIAN TRIBE LIST ACT OF 1994

On April 12, 1994, Congressman Thomas of Wyoming introduced H.R. 4180, the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994. As introduced, the bill prohibit the BIA from withdrawing recognition from an Indian tribe or Alaska Native group, or from the dulyelected leadership of such tribe or group, except by an Act of Congress. It made an exception for changes in tribal leadership that occur as a result of tribal elections. If the BIA wished to withdraw Federal recognition from a group, it was required to submit a petition to that effect to the two congressional committees of jurisdiction and to each of the Senators and Members of Congress in whose districts the effected tribe may reside. It was then left to Congress to make the final determination.

The Subcommittee held a hearing on H.R. 4180 on August 4, 1994, and at that time adopted an amendment in the nature of a

substitute.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

The Committee adopted an amendment in the nature of a substitute which made several modifications to H.R. 4180 as introduced. The Committee Amendment requires the Secretary to publish in the Federal Register an annual list of all federally recognized tribes. The findings section of the substitute notes that Congress has plenary power over Indian affairs; and that while a tribe may be recognized by the BIA pursuant to 25 C.F.R. §83, by Congress, or by the Federal courts, only Congress may terminate recognition.

The Committee cannot stress enough its conclusion that the Department may not terminate the federally-recognized status of an Indian tribe absent an Act of Congress. Congress has never delegated that authority to the Department, or acquiesced in such a termination. Any attempt to the contrary by the Department will surely result in a more concrete and compelling assertion of Con-

gressional primacy.

The Committe Department of 1 Congress has 1 tribes. As the B Notice of Alaska Services, "[the & Federal Indian tial that Indian Congress has le governmental a 108. That porti been withdrawn

12 See H.R. 734, 103 ing H.R. 4231, 103d C

The Committ controversy and the precise sove issues deserve should be const way the status mittee that its the Alaska Nat that nothing in ganization any lands (includin and wildlife) or The Act merely policy of includ recognized India

Section 1. Short Section 1 pro Recognized Ind:

Section 2. Defin

Section 2 set:

Section 3. Find: Section 3 sets

Section 4. Publi

Section 4(a) list of all feder programs and s cause of their s

Section 4(b) 1 of enactment, : after.

o others. Because t of Congress and

(1965); The Kansas Indiir, 1905). Bloux Tribe, 231 F.2d 89

rvices Program); 25 CFR

quickly enacted the introduction of

T ACT OF 1994

voming introduced List Act of 1994. Therewing recognitor from the dulyby an Act of Contal leadership that lahed to withdraw to submit a petimittees of jurisdictors of Congress in was then left to

1180 on August 4, in the nature of a

R. 4180 as intro-Secretary to pubil federally recogité notes that Conthat while a tribe F.R. §83, by Conmay terminate rec-

lusion that the Degnized status of an ess has never delequiesced in such a he Department will ig assertion of Con-

RECOGNIZED INDIAN TRIBES

P.L. 103-454

The Committee is aware that in January 1993 the Solicitor of the Department of the Interior issued an opinion which concluded that Congress has restricted the sovereign powers of Alaska Native tribes. As the BIA stated in the October 21, 1993 Federal Register Notice of Alaska Native Entities Recognized and Eligible to Receive Services, "[the Solicitor] concluded, construing general principles of Federal Indian law and ANSCA, that 'notwithstanding the potential that Indian country exists in Alaska in certain limited cases, Congress has left little or no room for tribes in Alaska to exercise governmental authority over land or nonmembers.' M-36, 975 at 108. That portion of the opinion is subject to review, but has not been withdrawn or modified."

¹²See H.R. 734, 103d Cong., 1st Sess. (1993); S. 1654, 103d Cong., 1st Sess. (1993) (incorporating H.R. 4231, 103d Cong., 2d Sess. (1994)) (enacted as Pub. L. No. 103–263).

99V-/ [page 5]

The Committee notes that the Solicitor's opinion has generated controversy and that there is extensive litigation on the subject of the precise sovereign powers of Alaska Native tribes. While these issues deserve further review by Congress, nothing in this Act should be construed as enhancing, diminishing or changing in any way the status of Alaska Native tribes. It is the intent of the Committee that its previous position taken in the 1987 amendments to the Alaska Native Land Claims Settlement Act be maintained and that nothing in this Act shall "confer on, or deny to, any Native organization any degree of sovereign governmental authority over lands" (including management or regulation of the taking of fish and wildlife) or persons in Alaska." P.L. 100–241, Section 2(8)(B). The Act merely requires that the Secretary continue the current policy of including Alaska Native entities on the list of Federally recognized Indian tribes which are eligible to receive services.

SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS

Section 1. Short title

Section 1 provides that the Act may be cited as the "Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994."

Section 2. Definitions

Section 2 sets out the definitions of three terms used in the Act.

Section 3. Findings

Section 3 sets out the findings of the Congress.

Section 4. Publication of list of recognized tribes

Section 4(a) requires the Secretary of the Interior to publish a list of all federally recognized Indian tribes eligible for the special programs and services provided by the United States to Indians because of their status as Indians.

Section 4(b) requires that the list be published within sixty days of enactment, and annually on or before every January 30 thereafter.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY HOUSE REPORT NO. 103-781

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

On April 12, 1994, Congressman Thomas of Wyoming introduced H.R. 4180, the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994; the bill is cosponsored by Congressmen Richardson, Young of Alaska, and Boehlert. The Subcommittee on Native American Affairs considered H.R. 4180 on August 4, 1994, and unanimously passed a substitute amendment to H.R. 4180, which was reported to the Committee on Natural Resources. On September 28, 1994, the Committee on Natural Resources considered H.R. 4180 and ordered it to be reported to the House with an amendment in the nature of a substitute and recommends its enactment by the House.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee on Natural Resources, by voice vote, approved the bill with an amendment and recommends its enactment by the House.

[page 6

OVERSIGHT STATEMENT

The Committee on Natural Resources will have continuing responsibility for oversight of the implementation of H.R. 4180 after enactment. No reports or recommendations were received pursuant to rule X, clause 2 of the rules of the House of Representatives.

INFLATIONARY IMPACT STATEMENT

Enactment of H.R. 4180 will have no inflationary impact.

COST AND BUDGET ACT COMPLIANCE

The Committee has received no analysis from the Congressional Budget Office prior to the filing of this report.

URI

Hou

House 1

Senate Report

The House Report (Parts Administrativ

The Commits bill (H.R. 5110 concluded in th having conside amendment an

Summary of H.R. & Background and P

1. Benefits of t

2. Legislative .
Committee Action
Section-by-Section
1. Short title a

2. Definitions title I. Appro
Round Agree
Subtitle A

1. Ap
mer

2. Re

3. Im

Sta